

Title: The enclosure walls of Banganarti and Selib after the 2010 season

Author(s): Mariusz Drzewiecki

Journal: *Polish Archaeology in the Mediterranean* 22 (Research 2010)

Year: 2013

Pages: 295–307

ISSN 1234–5415 (Print), ISSN 2083–537X (Online)

Publisher: Polish Centre of Mediterranean Archaeology, University of Warsaw (PCMA UW),
Wydawnictwa Uniwersytetu Warszawskiego (WUW)

www.pcma.uw.edu.pl – www.wuw.pl

Abstract: Banganarti 1 (ROM 53, BNG 1) and Selib 1 (ROM 100, SL B 11, SL B 1), lying approximately 9 km apart in the southern Dongola Reach, had been perceived as twin sites of analogous nature. In 2010 the enclosure wall in Selib was surveyed and the investigation of the fortifications in Banganarti was continued, in both cases aiming to test the theory about the similarity of the two complexes. A detailed examination of the enclosure walls enabled a comparison of the wall architecture, building materials, state of preservation and settlement intensity in the neighborhood.

Keywords: Banganarti, Sudan, fortifications, Selib, architecture

THE ENCLOSURE WALLS OF BANGANARTI AND SELIB AFTER THE 2010 SEASON

Mariusz Drzewiecki

Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań

Abstract: Banganarti 1 (ROM 53, BNG 1) and Selib 1 (ROM 100, SLB 11, SLB 1), lying approximately 9 km apart in the southern Dongola Reach, had been perceived as twin sites of analogous nature. In 2010 the enclosure wall in Selib was surveyed and the investigation of the fortifications in Banganarti was continued, in both cases aiming to test the theory about the similarity of the two complexes. A detailed examination of the enclosure walls enabled a comparison of the wall architecture, building materials, state of preservation and settlement intensity in the neighborhood.

Keywords: Banganarti, Sudan, fortifications, Selib, architecture

Banganarti 1 (ROM 53) and Selib 1 (ROM 100; SLB 11) were considered twin sites from the time of the first archaeological survey in those locations (Grzymski 1987: 9–10; Żurawski 2003: 142). Both were described by the Royal Ontario Museum Expedition to Nubia (ROMEN) in 1984 and 1985 as regular complexes with a central kom over the ruins. The mounds were identified as remains of Christian churches. Measurements carried out later revealed that the two sites were of similar size: Banganarti approximately 120 m by 90 m and Selib 130 m by 90 m (Żurawski 2011; Drzewiecki forthcoming). Both localities stand now at a considerable distance from the Nile, but Bogdan Żurawski is of the opinion that Banganarti may have occupied a permanent or seasonal island on the river (Żurawski 2003: 141),

whereas Selib stood on the riverbank (Żurawski 2011).

One of the objectives this season was to recognize the key features of the enclosure walls of both complexes. In 2010 fieldwork covered the curtains of Selib (6–16 February) as well as the fortifications in Banganarti (9 February–21 February). In Selib, the layout of the outer face of the wall was traced in surface cleaning and small sections of the inner face and an area suspected to be the site of a gate were uncovered. At Banganarti surface cleaning was followed up with testing, going down to culturally sterile layers of sand. Consequently, specific categories of finds, like building material, architecture, remains of settlement in the immediate vicinity of the walls, and state of preservation, are now open to comparison [*Figs 1, 2*].




Fig. 1. Architectural remains in Baganarti after the 2010 season
 (Drawing R. Łopaciuk, M. Drzewiecki)




Fig. 2. Selib 1, curtain wall seen in an aerial photograph taken during the 2010; test pits A to H dug around the perimeter of the wall (Drawing M. Drzewiecki; kite photo B. Żurawski)

BUILDING MATERIAL

Banganarti is a rare example of well preserved early medieval mud-brick fortifications [Fig. 3]. A different material, either stone or baked brick, was used in places of key structural importance [Fig. 6], such as arches above the entrance and the bottom of the foundations, but there does not seem to be any pattern that could speak in favor of there being a building principle. The size of mud bricks was varied, possibly because of the numerous episodes of repair and rebuilding, as well as building techniques.

The enclosure in Selib was built for the most part of irregular stones. The bigger stones (up to about 0.60 m in diameter)

were used as facing, while the smaller ones, mixed with sporadic fragments of mud- and baked brick, constituted the core. Mud was used for bonding. A stone column drum about 0.30 m high and 0.57 m in diameter was used in the structure of the southern corner. The surface of the drum bore remains of lime plaster, but no evident traces of painting or inscriptions [Fig. 4]. In 2010 a considerable part of the southwestern curtain wall, that is, 90 m out of a total length of 128 m, was observed to be of mud brick [see Fig. 2] interspersed with a few baked bricks. The interface of brick and stone sections of the wall is not straight, the stone course




Fig. 3. Banganarti 1, corner of mud-brick walls where the eastern tower joined the main wall (3/E/2010) (Photo M. Drzewiecki)



Fig. 4. Selib 1, southern corner of the curtain wall with reused column drum (Photo M. Drzewiecki)

in the lower parts underlying courses of brick. It cannot be said with any certainty whether this was the original intent of the builders or a rebuilding phase after sections of the wall had collapsed or been destroyed.

Summing up, there are considerable differences in the kind of building material that was used. Availability of material could have been an important factor, but there could also have been other non-utilitarian reasons.

ARCHITECTURE

The biggest differences were observed in the architecture of the two complexes. The enclosure wall at Selib had no elements typical of fortifications [see *Fig. 2*]. No features of a defensive nature other than the wall itself were recorded, no towers or bastions either at the corners or at regular intervals along the walls. One gate was traced [*Fig. 5*] and two places of potential

gates, neither one however with any additional defensive features or hindered access. The thickness of the enclosure wall did not exceed 1.50 m, indicating that it could not have been a parapet wall, especially if it is kept in mind that the facing of the wall was always receded slightly at the top, making the top of the wall less massive than the parts just above the foundations.




Fig. 5. Selib 1, west gateway with stone threshold, view from the outside and plan (Photo and drawing M. Drzewiecki)




Fig. 6. Banganarti 1, arched entrance in the eastern tower of the fortifications (Drawing M. Drzewiecki)

The fortifications of Banganarti are nothing like the Selib walls in this respect. The southeastern corner tower excavated in 2010 was about 8 m in diameter in the first building phase (wall I) and was enlarged to 10 m [Fig. 7]. The room inside the tower was about 3.70 m in diameter and was entered through a corridor that was from 0.67 to 1.00 m wide. A floor of compact mud, 5 cm thick, was found inside the room. The threshold, traces of which were recorded, was made of baked bricks. A wall partitioned the room into two units. A passage led through this wall. It was vaulted, the sole evidence of this being bricks set at an oblique angle at the springing. The passage

was about 1.00–1.30 m high and the inner unit could have been a small, storage room, possibly supporting stairs leading to the upper level of the tower. Walls now no more than a meter high failed to give any clues as to the nature of the vault in this unit.

Exploration of the eastern tower, located midway between the corners of the eastern wall of the fortification, revealed the remains of a staircase and vaulted room under the steps [Fig. 8, bottom]. The feature has survived in much better condition, allowing the architectural layout and construction to be studied in greater detail.

The original enclosure wall was approximately 2 m thick, but was enlarged to 3 m




Fig. 7. Banganarti, plan of the southeastern corner tower
(Drawing M. Drzewiecki)

or 4 m,¹ which could suggest the existence of a parapet walk (wall II). It is impossible to resolve this question with regard to wall I. To date, three gates have been recorded in

the enceinte and another potential gate in the trapezoid building (Wiewióra 2005: 266–268; Drzewiecki 2010: 344–345, 350). All of the gates were defensive in




Fig. 8. Banganarti 1, eastern tower: top, aerial photograph taken in the 2010 season; bottom, view of the entrance to the room under the stairs (Photos B. Żurawski, M. Drzewiecki)

¹ The section between the eastern tower and the northeastern corner is an exception, being approximately 0.80 m thick. On the inside, this section of the wall was lined with a series of long rooms (Drzewiecki 2008: 404–405). This particular design may have been due either to savings made on building material or else the desire to enlarge storage space inside the complex.

nature with projecting semicircular plan defending access to a double-bend passage [see *Fig. 1*]. Assuming Banganarti had indeed been an island, this would have made the complex doubly defensive.

In sum, the enclosure wall at Selib was meant to enclose a certain space, protecting it against the elements and unwelcome

animals. It could have been a symbolic barrier, analogous to a temenos wall, for example, between the *sacrum* and *profanum*, but it was evidently not defensive in the military sense. On the other hand, the complex in Banganarti served all the functions listed for Selib, but was also heavily defended.

STATE OF PRESERVATION

Testing carried out in 2010 in different parts of the fortifications at Banganarti demonstrated a differentiated state of preservation of the walls [see *Fig. 1*]. Standing walls oscillated around 1 m high in the southeastern and southwestern

corners,² rising to 3.60 m in the southern section. For the most part the fortifications stand on non-architectural layers up to 1.45 m in thickness [*Figs 9, 10*]. Standing architecture and sand accumulated against the walls have protected occupational




Fig. 9. Banganarti 1, section at right angle to the face of the curtain wall (trench 2/III/2010) (Drawing A. Cedro)

² The section of the ruins around the southwestern corner is the most difficult to interpret probably because of the Nile floods, which changed the wall into a solid irregular mass, preserved up to a meter high.

layers from erosion [Fig. 10]. Indeed, accumulated sand has almost completely hidden the remains of walls. In some places the remains were covered with 3 m of windblown sand. Wherever the wall could be traced on the surface, there was extensive proof of digging. Part of the site, especially the western curtain, has suffered extensively from date palm cultivation. Pits up to 3 m deep were dug for each tree, pockmarking this part of the complex.

At Selib 1 the remains of the enclosure wall were visible on the ground in many places. It was enough to clear a layer of humus up to 0.30 m deep to uncover the outer face of the wall. This may have been due to intensive preparation of the area under cultivation, which took place a few years back according to local informers. In 2010, the bottom of the foundation of the enclosure wall was reached in the area of the western gate [see Fig. 5]. The wall stood 0.60 m high and there were no archaeological layers around it. This may have been the reason for such a small number of *maroq* pits along the length of the wall.

Summing up the state of preservation of the two sites, one has to wonder why

it was so different, Banganarti should be in much worse condition being situated closer to cultivated land and modern village settlement.




Fig. 10. Banganarti, entrance to the southeastern corner tower on top of non-architectural occupational layers (trench 4/IX/2010) (Drawing A. Cedro)

REMAINS OF OCCUPATION NEXT TO THE ENCLOSURE WALL

Few artifacts were recorded next to the enclosure wall in Selib — mostly well fired storage jars and *qawadis* pots used for drawing water in water installations (*saqiya* wheels). Some red bricks, partly painted white, were also found [Fig. 11]. No attached architecture was recorded along the outside of the curtain wall. Only small sections of the wall were cleared on

the inside, but work in the neighborhood of the gate revealed a street, set off by the foundations of mud-brick structures [see Fig. 2].

The opposite is true of Banganarti where even in the least well preserved parts of the enceinte there were many artifacts, including mainly pottery sherds, fragments of animal bones, burnt organic material

(such as seeds), all of which represented refuse dumped outside the walls. A set of amphora mud stoppers was recorded in the area of the southeastern gate during excavations in 2010 [Fig. 12, left]. Some of these were stamped and bore traces of red pigment on the surface. Two clay seals were also recorded [Fig. 12, right]. Remains of architecture were noted both on the outside and inside of the enclosure wall [see Figs 1, 8], but they were more concentrated on the inside. Artifacts found inside were in much better condition comparatively, whole pots being found much more frequently, for example, and even in some cases undisturbed in remains of hearths or in a room corner, nestled against the walls [Fig. 13].

In summary, despite the different degrees of preservation of the remains, the

complex in Banganarti appears to have seen much more intensive use than the one at Selib. The number and diversity of artifacts from relevant areas on the two sites is seen as confirmation.




Fig. 11. Selib, partly white-painted red bricks found along the curtain wall (Photo M. Drzewiecki)




Fig. 12. Mud stopper (left) and clay seals from occupational contexts next to the Banganarti walls (Photo K. Molga; drawing A. Cedro)

CONCLUSIONS

Upon closer examination different building materials and architectural designs were evident. The occupation intensity was not the same.

Why were different building materials used? Assuming Banganarti was indeed an island, then transporting stone material from inland would have greatly exceeded the effort of making mud bricks on the spot. But in the case of Selib the situation is not so evident, because although making mud brick on the riverbank would not have posed any difficulty, there is no data on whether stone was easily available in the

area. No traces of stone quarries have been recorded anywhere in the region, but then drifting sand dunes may have obscured features of this kind. The material may have somehow been significant to the builders and was sought out especially. Or it may have simply been quarried nearby, at an outcrop now engulfed by moving sands.

The symbolic meaning of the Selib enclosure wall can be discussed also in the light of the defensiveness of the complex. There is an apparent difference between the non-military function of the wall around the church at Selib and the



*Fig. 13. Banganarti 1, Unit 6, storage vessels along the wall
(Photo M. Drzewiecki)*

fortifications at Banganarti, which have utilitarian qualities and were intended to protect against potential raiders.

Both localities may have partaken of the symbolical protection afforded by the religious nature of the complexes (churches with their symbolism and holy protection), but it was Banganarti with its practical defenses that may have attracted regular settlement more effectively.³ Buildings here were stronger and sounder and people occupied them for longer stretches of time. It has been suggested (B. Żurawski, personal communication) that the last mentions of Christians in Nubia, in a letter to cardinal Belluga dated to 1742 (Vantini 1970: 141–143), referred to Banganarti. Krzysztof Grzymski from the Royal Ontario Museum survey recorded graves

on top of the kom; the burials represented the last occupational phase (Grzymski 1987: 11). Investigation of Selib 1 by the Southern Dongola Reach Survey (SDRS) attributed the ceramic assemblage from the site to the early Dongolan and classical Dongolan phases, that is, 600–850 and approximately 850–1100 respectively (Żurawski 2003: 168), whereas the artifacts from Banganarti indicated a much longer period of occupation from the first Dongolan intermediate period (around AD 550–600) until the times of the Funj sultanate about 1500–1800 (Żurawski 2003: 151).

Prolonged occupation of the site and the more massive defenses could have also been responsible for the better state of preservation and the larger number of artifacts found in context at Banganarti.

Mariusz Drzewiecki

PhD candidate, Institute of Prehistory, Adam Mickiewicz University
61-712 Poznań, Poland, ul. Wieniawskiego 1
mariusz_drzewiecki@yahoo.com

REFERENCES

Drzewiecki, M.

2008 The curtain wall in Banganarti. Results of research in 2006, *PAM* 18 (*Reports* 2006), 403–409

2010 Excavating the curtain wall in Banganarti in 2007, *PAM* 19 (*Reports* 2007), 342–358

Forthcoming Banganarti, the fortifications [in:] *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference for Nubian Studies, London, 1–6 August 2010*, in press

Grzymski, K.A.

1987 *Archaeological Reconnaissance in Upper Nubia* [=SSEA Publications 14], Toronto: Benben Publications

³ It may have been only one of the factors. A study of the two churches at Banganarti has demonstrated that in its terminal phase the Raphaelion may have been the object of pilgrimages as attested by numerous scratched and written inscriptions on the walls of the church (Żurawski 2004: 223; Łajtar 2008).

- Łajtar, A.
2008 Late Christian Nubia through visitors' inscriptions from the Upper Church at Banganarti [in:] W. Godlewski, A. Łajtar (eds), *Between the Cataracts. Proceedings of the 11th Conference for Nubian Studies, Warsaw University, 27 August–2 September 2006, I. Main Papers* [=PAM Supplement Series 2.1], Warsaw: PCMA & Warsaw University Press, 321–331
- Vantini, J.
1970 *The Excavations at Faras: A Contribution to the History of Christian Nubia* [=Museum Combonianum 24], Bologna: Editrice Nigrizia
- Wiewióra, M.
2005 Sinada/Banganarti — Abkor — Diffar: three fortified settlements in the South Dongola Reach, *GAMAR* 3, 265–283
- Żurawski, B.
2003 *Survey and Excavations between Old Dongola and Ez-Zuma* [=Nubia 2; Southern Dongola Reach Survey 1], Warsaw: ZAŚ PAN & Neriton
2004 Banganarti [in:] D.A. Welsby, J.R. Anderson (eds), *Sudan Ancient Treasures. An Exhibition of Recent Discoveries from the Sudan National Museum*, London: British Museum Press, 220–226
2011 Banganarti and Selib. Two field seasons in 2008, *PAM* 20 (Research 2008), 251–261

POLISH CENTRE OF MEDITERRANEAN ARCHAEOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW

POLISH ARCHAEOLOGY IN THE MEDITERRANEAN

XXII

RESEARCH 2010



CONTENTS

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	10
OBITUARIES	
<i>Michał Neska</i>	11
<i>Piotr Parandowski</i>	13
<i>Adam Stefanowicz</i>	15
<i>Zygmunt Wysocki</i>	17
ABBREVIATIONS AND STANDARD REFERENCES	19

PAM REPORTS

PCMA FIELD MISSIONS AND PROJECTS IN 2010 (WITH MAP)	23
---	----

EGYPT

ALEXANDRIA

EXCAVATIONS AND PRESERVATION WORK ON KOM EL-DIKKA. PRELIMINARY REPORT 2009/2010 <i>Grzegorz Majcherek</i>	33
---	----

MAREA

ELEVENTH SEASON OF EXCAVATIONS AT MAREA (2010) <i>Krzysztof Babraj, [Hanna Szymańska], Anna Drzymuchowska, Nina Willburger</i> ...	55
APPENDIX: THE HARBOR JETTIES OF MAREA <i>Krzysztof Babraj</i>	67

TELL EL-RETABA

TELL EL-RETABA, SEASON 2010 <i>Sławomir Rzepka, Jozef Hudec, Łukasz Jarmużek</i>	79
APPENDIX: TELL EL-RETABA 2010. PRELIMINARY REPORT ON ARCHAEOBOTANICAL INVESTIGATIONS <i>Claire Malleon</i>	90
TELL EL-RETABA, SEASON 2010: POTTERY REPORT <i>Anna Wodzińska</i>	96

CONTENTS

TELL EL-MURRA

- TELL EL-MURRA (NORTHEASTERN NILE DELTA SURVEY). SEASON 2010
Mariusz A. Jucha, Katarzyna Błaszczuk, Artur Buszek, Grzegorz Pryc 105

TELL EL-GHABA

- GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY AT TELL EL-GHABA, 2010
Tomasz Herbich 121

DEIR EL-BAHARI

- TEMPLE OF HATSHEPSUT AT DEIR EL-BAHARI. SEASONS 2008/2009 AND 2009/2010
Zbigniew E. Szafrński 131
- NEW STONE SCULPTURES OF HATSHEPSUT FROM DEIR EL-BAHARI
Aliaksei Shukanau 152

VALLEY OF THE KINGS

- POLISH EPIGRAPHICAL MISSION IN THE TOMB OF RAMESSES VI (KV 9)
IN THE VALLEY OF THE KINGS IN 2010
Adam Łukaszewicz 161
- APPENDIX: THREE-DIMENSIONAL SPATIAL INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR THE GRAFFITI
INSIDE THE TOMB OF RAMESSES VI (KV 9) IN THE VALLEY OF THE KINGS
Wiesław Małkowski, Miron Bogacki 165

SHEIKH ABD EL-GURNA

- THE HERMITAGE IN SHEIKH ABD EL-GURNA (WEST THEBES): EXCAVATIONS,
STUDIES AND CONSERVATION IN 2009 AND 2010/2011
Tomasz Górecki 171
- PRELIMINARY REMARKS ON THE ARCHITECTURE OF THEBAN TOMB 1152
AT SHEIKH ABD EL-GURNA
Patryk Chudzik 193
- THE GURNA MANUSCRIPTS (HERMITAGE IN MMA 1152),
CONSERVATION REPORT, 2010
Anna Thommée 199

BERENIKE

- THE LATE ROMAN HARBOR TEMPLE OF BERENIKE. RESULTS OF THE 2010 SEASON
OF EXCAVATIONS
Joanna Rądkowska, Steven E. Sidebotham, Iwona Zych 209

SUDAN

DONGOLA

- ARCHAEOZOOLOGICAL RESEARCH ON ANIMAL REMAINS FROM EXCAVATIONS IN
DONGOLA (SUDAN) IN 2010
Marta Osypińska 229

CONTENTS

THE MOSQUE BUILDING IN OLD DONGOLA. CONSERVATION AND REVITALIZATION PROJECT

*Artur Obluski, Włodzimierz Godlewski, Wojciech Kołataj, Stanisław Medeksza,
Cristobal Calaforra-Rzepka* 248

BANGANARTI

BANGANARTI AND SELIB. SEASON 2010

*Bogdan Żurawski, Tomasz Stępnik, Mariusz Drzewiecki, Tadeusz Badowski,
Aneta Cedro, Katarzyna Molga, Katarzyna Solarska, Tomasz Włodarski* 273

APPENDIX: ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH REPORT FROM SELIB 2 (2010 SEASON)

Roksana Hajduga 287

THE ENCLOSURE WALLS OF BANGANARTI AND SELIB AFTER THE 2010 SEASON

Mariusz Drzewiecki 295

FOURTH CATARACT

HAGAR EL-BEIDA 1. EXCAVATIONS OF THE “ROYAL” TUMULUS (No. 10) IN 2010

Marek Chłodziński, Tomasz Stępnik 309

LEBANON

JIYEH

PRELIMINARY REPORT ON THE 2010 EXCAVATION SEASON AT JIYEH (PORPHYREON)

Tomasz Waliszewski, Mariusz Gwiazda 321

FISHING GEAR FROM JIYEH (PORPHYREON). PRELIMINARY REPORT

Agnieszka Szulc-Kajak 334

SYRIA

PALMYRA

REMARKS ON WATER SUPPLY IN PALMYRA. RESULTS OF A SURVEY IN 2010

Karol Juchniewicz, Marta Żuchowska 341

TELL ARBID

PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF THE FIFTEENTH FIELD SEASON OF JOINT POLISH–SYRIAN EXPLORATIONS ON TELL ARBID (2010)

Piotr Bieliński 351

NINEVITE 5 KITCHEN FROM TELL ARBID (SECTOR W)

Andrzej Reiche, Anna Smogorzewska 371

SET OF SECOND MILLENNIUM BC UNBAKED CLAY OBJECTS FROM TELL ARBID

Agnieszka Szymczak 387

DECORATIVE MOTIFS ON EARLY INCISED/EXCISED NINEVITE 5 POTTERY FROM TELL ARBID

Cezary Baka, Jacek Hamburg 421

CONTENTS

ANIMAL BONE REMAINS FROM TELL ARBID (SEASON 2009) — ARCHAEOZOOLOGICAL ANALYSIS <i>Joanna Piątkowska-Malecka, Anna Smogorzewska</i>	439
TELL ARBID. ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY EXCAVATIONS IN SECTOR P (SPRING SEASON OF 2010) <i>Rafał Koliński</i>	451

KUWAIT

AS-SABBIYA

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY IN THE EASTERN AS-SABBIYA (NORTH COAST OF KUWAIT BAY), SEASONS 2009–2010 <i>Lukasz Rutkowski</i>	479
TUMULI GRAVES AND DESERT WELLS IN THE AS-SABBIYA. PRELIMINARY EXCAVATION REPORT ON THE SPRING SEASON IN 2010 <i>Lukasz Rutkowski</i>	493
TUMULUS GRAVE SMQ 49 (AS-SABBIYA, KUWAIT). PRELIMINARY REPORT ON THE INVESTIGATIONS IN 2009–2010 <i>Maciej Makowski</i>	518
TUMULUS GRAVE SMQ 30 IN AS-SABBIYA – MUGHEIRA (NORTHERN KUWAIT). A REPORT ON THE 2007–2008 INVESTIGATIONS <i>Andrzej Reiche</i>	528

IRAN

KHONE-YE DIV

KHONE-YE DIV. PRELIMINARY REPORT ON THE SECOND AND THIRD SEASONS OF IRANO–POLISH EXCAVATIONS (2009 AND 2010) <i>Barbara Kaim, Mohammad Bakhtiari, Hassan Hashemi</i>	543
--	-----

PAM STUDIES

POTTERY FROM BAHRA 1 (KUWAIT). NEW EVIDENCE FOR THE PRESENCE OF UBAID CULTURE IN THE GULF <i>Anna Smogorzewska</i>	555
GROUND AND PECKED STONE INDUSTRY OF BAHRA 1, AN UBAID-RELATED SETTLEMENT IN NORTHERN KUWAIT <i>Marcin Białowarczuk</i>	569
SHELL OBJECTS FROM TELL RAD SHAQRAH (SYRIA) <i>Dariusz Szeląg</i>	587

CONTENTS

ANTHROPOMORPHIC FIGURINES OF THE SECOND MILLENNIUM BC FROM TELL ARBID, PRELIMINARY REPORT <i>Maciej Makowski</i>	617
EXECRATION AGAIN? REMARKS ON AN OLD KINGDOM RITUAL <i>Teodozja I. Rzeuska</i>	627
THE SOLAR ALTAR IN THE HATSHEPSUT TEMPLE AT DEIR EL-BAHARI <i>Teresa Dziedzic</i>	635
ROMAN CLAY LANTERN FROM BIJAN ISLAND (IRAQ) <i>Maria Krogulska, Iwona Zych</i>	651
ARCHBISHOP GEORGIOS OF DONGOLA. SOCIO-POLITICAL CHANGE IN THE KINGDOM OF MAKURIA IN THE SECOND HALF OF THE 11TH CENTURY <i>Włodzimierz Godlewski</i>	663
MEDIEVAL TRANSCULTURAL MEDIUM: BEADS AND PENDANTS FROM MAKURIAN AND POST-MAKURIAN DONGOLA IN NUBIA <i>Joanna Then-Obluska</i>	679
INDEX OF SITES	721
GUIDELINES FOR AUTHORS	722
PCMA PUBLICATIONS	723